# Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Cite this: Org. Biomol. Chem., 2011, 9, 3033

www.rsc.org/obc

# A novel hydrazide type organocatalyst for enantioselective Diels–Alder reactions<sup>†</sup>

Ichiro Suzuki,\* Masafumi Ando, Rumiko Shimabara, Ai Hirata and Kei Takeda

Received 8th December 2010, Accepted 1st February 2011 DOI: 10.1039/c0ob01138j

The development of a new class of hydrazide type organocatalyst, (4R,5R)-1,3-bis(isopropylamino)-4,5-dihenylimidazolidin-2-one **2a**, for enantioselective Diels–Alder reactions between cyclopentadiene and  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated aldehydes are presented. The new organocatalyst **2a** promoted the reaction, affording Diels–Alder adducts in good yields with good levels of enantioselectivity.

# Introduction

Diels-Alder reaction is one of the most useful synthetic methods for constructing six-membered carbocyclic and heterocyclic frameworks, and enantioselective versions of this reaction have attracted much attention due to their applicability to enantioselective synthesis of natural products and biologically active compounds.<sup>1,2</sup> While the use of chiral auxiliaries and the employment of chiral Lewis acid catalysts have been recognized as conventional and reliable methods in enantioselective reactions, organocatalysts have recently emerged as powerful tools for many enantioselective reactions including Diels-Alder reactions.<sup>3,4</sup> Among the recently reported organocatalytic transformations, the use of chiral secondary amines, such as proline, prolinol, imidazolidine and their derivatives, has been a mainstream; however, long reaction time and/or loading 10 mol% or more of catalysts have often been required to complete the reactions. These catalysts have a highly nucleophilic pyrrolidine or imidazoline framework as a catalysis core; therefore, it seems to be difficult to dramatically improve the inherent reactivity of these catalysts by structural modifications. To overcome these difficulties, Tomkinson first introduced an acyl hydrazine as an organocatalyst in Diels-Alder reactions and showed that the hydrazide was a catalyst superior to usual aminocatalysts.<sup>5</sup> After this report, Ogilvie<sup>6</sup> reported the first example of acyl hydrazide-type chiral organocatalysts for enantioselective Diels-Alder reactions in aqueous media, and Lee7 and Langlois8 reported that chiral sulfonylhydrazides also catalyzed Diels-Alder reactions effectively, and more recently, Smith<sup>9</sup> reported pyrazolidinone-mediated enantioselective Diels-Alder reactions. While hydrazines, hydrazides and their derivatives are fascinating as a catalysis core due to their enhanced nucleophilicity caused by an  $\alpha$ -heteroatom effect as mentioned above, they have attracted much less attention, and reported successful examples of chiral catalysts are limited to camphor-based cyclic hydrazides. We thought that this situation was probably caused by the lack of chiral sources that were easily available for preparing chiral hydrazinocatalysts, and we therefore decided to explore effective chiral catalystplatforms with structural diversity. For this purpose, we screened several hydrazines and hydrazides for their catalytic efficiency in Diels–Alder reactions. We were delighted to find that 1aminooxazolidinone **1a–1c** and 1,3-diaminoimidazolidinone **2a– 2b** showed higher catalytic activity than did the other catalysts tested because these results indicated that we could utilize the existing chiral oxazolidinones and imidazolidinones for our catalyst design. In this paper, we report that chiral 1-aminooxazolidinones **1a–1c** and 1,3-diaminoimidazolidines **2a–2b** effectively catalyzed Diels–Alder reactions of cyclopentadiene and  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated aldehydes with moderately to good enantioselectivity (Fig. 1.)

PAPER



### **Results and Discussions**

The new hydrazide-type catalysts 1 and 2 were readily prepared from commercially available materials as shown in Scheme 1.

Commercially available chiral oxazolidinones were treated with NaH and DPPONH<sub>2</sub> in 1,4-dioxane at 60 °C followed by a reductive amination process giving catalysts 1a-1c.<sup>10</sup> Catalysts 2a and 2b were also prepared by a procedure similar to that employed for preparing 1a-1c. We first examined Diels–Alder reactions between cinnamaldehyde and cyclopentadiene in the presence of catalysts 1a-1c or catalysts 2a and 2b in MeOH or IPA, and the results are summarized in Table 1.

All of the catalysts efficiently catalyzed Diels–Alder reactions to give adducts in good yields within a few hours, though diastereoselectivity was not observed. As for the reaction rate, **1a** 

Address, 1-2-3, Kasumi, Minami-ku, Hiroshima, 734-8553, Japan. E-mail: isuzuki@hiroshima-u.ac.jp; Fax: +81 082-257-5184; Tel: +81 082-257-5321 † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: See DOI: 10.1039/c0ob01138j

Table 1 Diels-Alder reactions between cinnamaldehyde and cyclopentadiene in MeOH in the presence of 1a-1c, 2a and  $2b^a$ 

		CHO Ph	1 or 2 (5 Acid (X MeOH o	10 mol%) mol%) r IPA, T <i>endo</i> X = CHO, 0	$\frac{1}{X} Ph + \frac{1}{Exo} Ph$ $\frac{1}{X} exo Ph$ $CH(OMe)_2 \text{ or } CH(Oi-Pr)$	2	
Entry	Cat.	acid (X)	T/°C	t/h	Yield/% <sup>b</sup>	endo : exo <sup>c</sup>	endo ee/% <sup>d</sup>
1	1a	HCl (10)	rt	0.3	91	44:56	-14 (-15)
2	1b	HC1 (10)	rt	2.5	86	44:56	-53 (-42)
3	1c	HC1 (10)	rt	1.5	83	45:55	-56 (-39)
4	2a	HC1 (10)	rt	0.9	86	49:51	61 (53)
5	2a	HC1 (10)	-22	3.0	73	54:46	80 (72)
6	2a	TFA (10)	-22	17	60	44:56	40 (47)
7	2a	MsOH (10)	-22	6.0	75	52:48	74 (66)
8	2a	$H_2SO_4(5)$	-22	24	79	47:53	52 (55)
9	2a	TfOH (10)	-22	3.0	68	44:56	62 (61)
10	2a	HCl (30)	rt	0.5	83	51:49	78 (72)
11	2a	HC1 (30)	-22	1.0	77	57:43	85 (76)
12 <sup>e</sup>	2a	HC1 (30)	rt	0.7	80	50:50	83 (76)
13 <sup>e</sup>	2a	HC1 (30)	-22	2.5	80	51:49	89 (70)
14 <sup>e</sup>	2b	HCl (30)	-22	0.9	69	39:61	63 (58)

<sup>*a*</sup> Cinnamaldehyde (1.0 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (3 M) and to this solution were added 10 mol% of **1a–1c** (5 mol% for **2a** and **2b**), 10 mol% of acid cocatalyst and cyclopentadiene (3.0 mmol) in this sequence. <sup>*b*</sup> Products were obtained as a mixture of an aldehyde and an acetal and yields were determined after hydrolysis of the acetal to the aldehyde. <sup>*c*</sup> Determined by <sup>1</sup>H–NMR. <sup>*d*</sup> Determined by chiral HPLC and the values in parentheses indicate ee of *exo* isomer. <sup>*c*</sup> The reactions were carried out in IPA (3 M solution). <sup>*f*</sup> 1,4-Dioxane solution of HCl (4 M) was used.



Scheme 1 Preparation of catalysts.

was most effective and the reaction was completed within 20 min, but the enantioselectivity of both endo and exo isomers was very low (14% ee for the endo isomer, entry 1). When 1b, 1c and 2a were employed as catalysts, the reaction proceeded smoothly to give adducts with moderate enantioselectivity (53-61% ee for the endo isomer) (entries 2-4). When the reaction using 2a was conducted at -22 °C, enantioselectivity was improved to 80% ee for the endo isomer, though the reaction time was prolonged to 3.0 h (entry 5). In the reactions using catalyst 2a, the absolute configurations of major enantiomers of both endo and exo isomers were assigned 2S by comparison with reported data.4f It is noteworthy that twin-core type catalyst 2a gave results superior to those given by single-core type 1a as for enantioselectivity, although the chiral environment around each catalysis core of 2a is similar to that of single-core 1a except for absolute configuration (entries 1 vs. 4). Other acid cocatalysts, such as TFA, MsOH, H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and TfOH, proved to be less effective, and lower enantioselectivity was observed than that observed in the reaction using HCl as a cocatalyst (entries 6-9). Since the catalyzed reaction involves three processes, namely, hydrazonium ion formation, Diels-Alder reaction and hydrolysis and/or solvolysis of the resulting adducts, it is difficult to quantitatively explain the effects of acid cocatalysts on the reaction efficiency including asymmetric induction.11 However, based on the assumption that the ratedetermining step is hydrazonium ion formation,<sup>5b</sup> as for the reaction rate, we can provide some reasonable explanations. Acid cocatalysts promote the dehydration reaction in the hydrazonium ion formation process, but the addition of a catalyst to an aldehyde was retarded at the same time due to a decrease of a nucleophilic unprotonated catalysis-core in the presence of acids. For example, TfOH can more effectively facilitate the dehydration reaction than can HCl. However, compared to HCl, TfOH must more extensively protonate a catalysis-core, and the amount of a free catalysis-core should be decreased, leading to net efficiency similar to that of HCl. At present, we consider HCl to be an optimal acid, its acidity beeing sufficiently high to facilitate the dehydration reaction but not so high as to completely protonate the catalysis-core even in the presence of excess HCl. Acid cocatalysts also affected efficiency of asymmetric induction. In the catalyzed-reactions using 2a, two hydrazonium ions I and II are involved as possible reactive intermediates.



We now assume that a reactive intermediate is altered by the acid cocatalyst used and that **II** worked as a reactive intermediate

#### Table 2 Optimization of reaction solvents<sup>a</sup>

		Ph CHO	<b>2a</b> (5 mol%) HCl (30 mol%) Solvent, T	Ph + endo CHO exo	л х сно	
Entry	Solvent	T∕°C	t/h	Yield/%	endo : exo <sup>b</sup>	endo ee/% <sup>c</sup>
1	Toluene	rt	8	89	50:50	76 (72)
2	DCM	rt	2.5	95	54:46	78 (72)
3	AcOEt	rt	4.0	90	54:44	79 (73)
4	1,4-dioxane	rt	3.5	71	53:47	79 (73)
5	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	rt	0.7	89	58:42	77 (69)
6	$CH_3NO_2$	rt	0.7	77	59:41	77 (68)
7	DMF	rt	0.8	88	54:46	85 (73)
8	DMF	0	4.0	80	55:45	88 (78)
9	Water	rt	12	92	46:54	40 (44)
10	Sat. brine	rt	8.5	90	48:52	63 (54)

<sup>*a*</sup> Cinnamaldehyde (1.0 mmol) was dissolved in an indicated solvent (5 M) and to this solution were added 5 mol% of **2a**, 30 mol% of HCl (4 M soln. in 1,4-dioxane) and cyclopentadiene (3.0 mmol) in this sequence. <sup>*b*</sup> Determined by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR. <sup>*c*</sup> Determined by chiral HPLC and the values in parentheses indicate ee of *exo* isomer.

in the presence of HCl, giving good enantioselectivity. These mechanistic aspects are discussed together with the unexpectedly low enantioselectivity observed in the reaction using 1a in a later section. When the reaction was carried out in the presence of 30 mol% of HCl at rt and -22 °C, the enantioselectivity was improved to 78% ee and 85% ee for the endo isomer, respectively (entries 10 and 11). In addition, when IPA was used as a solvent, enantioselectivity was slightly improved, though longer reaction time was needed compared to MeOH (entries 12 and 13). We also examined the use of 2b as a catalyst. Catalyst 2b exhibited higher efficiency than did 2a and the reaction was completed within 1 h even at -22 °C; however, enantioselectivity of both endo and exo isomers was not high, 63% ee and 58% ee, respectively (entry 14). Although 2a worked well as a catalyst for the reaction of cinnamaldehyde and cyclopentadiene in MeOH and IPA, the reactions had to be carried out at -22 °C to obtain a satisfactory result. In addition, the reaction using 3-(4methoxypheny)propenal as a dienophile was not completed even after 48 h, and 3-(4-nitrophenyl)propenal did not react at all at -22 °C probably due to their poor solubility in MeOH and IPA at this temperature. We therefore screened reaction solvents for their applicability to the catalyzed-reactions, and the results are summarized in Table 2.

In organic solvents, enantioselectivity was less sensitive to the nature of the solvents, whereas the reaction rate was considerably dependent on the solvent. In non- or less-polar solvents, such as toluene, DCM, AcOEt and 1,4-dioxane, the reaction also proceeded with good enantioselectivity (70–80% ee), but longer reaction time than that in MeOH was needed. In these reactions, a precipitate was formed immediately after addition of HCl, and the reaction became inhomogeneous (entries 1–4). Since the reactions proceeded as the precipitate decreased, the precipitate was thought to be an intermediary hydrazonium ion. On the other hand, in polar solvents, such as acetonitrile, nitromethane and DMF, the reactions became homogeneous and were completed within 1 h at rt giving adducts with good enantioselectivity (68–85% ee, entries 6–7). In particular, DMF was shown to be a better solvent than the others, and the reaction was completed within

4 h with good yield and enantioselectivity (88% ee for the *endo* isomer) at 0 °C. We also examined the use of water and saturated brine as solvents (entries 9 and 10). In these aqueous conditions, catalyst **2a** did not work well, leading to considerable decrease of enantioselectivity, whereas Ogilvie's pyrazolidinone-based catalyst and Lee's sulfonylhydrazide could work efficiently in aqueous media.<sup>6a,7</sup> We further investigated the effects of acid cocatalysts and amount of catalyst **2a** in DMF, and the results are shown in Table 3.

When TFA and TfOH were used, enantioselectivity was lowered to 42% ee and 60% ee for the *endo* isomer, respectively. TsOH gave better results than did the former two acids but was slightly less effective than HCl (entries 1–3). The amount of HCl did not affect the enantioselectivity but affected reaction time in DMF, whereas both enantioselectivity and reaction rate were improved in MeOH as the amount of HCl was increased (entries 4 and 5). When the amount of catalyst **2a** was decreased to 1 mol%, the reaction was also completed to give Diels–Alder adducts with good enantioselectivity, but reaction time was considerably prolonged to 72 h (entry 6).

On the other hand, interestingly, using 30 mol% of catalyst 2a did not improve the reaction rate, and the efficiency was rather decreased compared with that in the case of using 5 mol% of catalyst 2a, whereas enantioselectivity was not affected by the amount of 2a (entry 7). These results implied that not only the amount of catalyst 2a but also the ratio of catalyst 2a to acid cocatalyst is crucial to obtain satisfactory reaction efficiency. We further carried out a series of Diels–Alder reactions using various aldehydes, and the results are summarized in Table 4.

While (*E*)-3-(4-nitrophenyl)propenal reacted very smoothly at 0 °C to afford Diels–Alder adducts in 97% yield with good enantioselectivity, (*E*)-3-(4-isopropylphenyl)propenal and (*E*)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)propenal reacted very slowly at 0 °C, and the reaction had to be conducted at rt to obtain satisfactory results (entries 1–4). When (*E*)-3-(2-nitrophenyl)propenal was used as a dienophile, enantioselectivity of *endo* and *exo* isomers reached 96% ee and 92% ee, respectively. In this reaction, interestingly, the *endo* isomer was formed in preference to the *exo* isomer, and the dr

#### Table 3 Optimization of reaction conditions in DMF<sup>a</sup>

Entry	Acid (X)	<b>2a</b> (Y)	T∕°C	t/h	Yield/%	endo : exo <sup>b</sup>	endo ee/% <sup>c</sup>
1	TFA (30)	5	rt	6.0	88	54:46	42 (44)
2	TsOH (30)	5	rt	1.3	91	55:45	79 (68)
3	TfOH (30)	5	rt	1.0	89	44:56	60 (57)
4	HC1 (10)	5	0	24	85	53:47	86 (74)
5	HC1 (50)	5	0	2.5	82	56:44	87 (76)
6	HC1 (30)	1	0	72	82	55:45	87 (74)
7	HC1 (30)	30	0	7	84	54:46	84 (70)

<sup>*a*</sup> Cinnamaldehyde (1.0 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (5 M) and to this solution were added 5 mol% of **2a**, 30 mol% of HCl (4 M Soln. in 1,4-dioxane) and cyclopentadiene (3.0 mmol) in this sequence. <sup>*b*</sup> Determined by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR. <sup>*c*</sup> Determined by chiral HPLC and the values in parentheses indicate ee of *exo* isomer.

Table 4 Diels-Alder cycloaddition reactions using various aldehydes in the presence of  $2a^{a}$ 

		R CHO H	2a (5 mol%) ICl (30 mol%) DMF	CHO R		
Entry	R	<i>T</i> ∕°C	t/h	Yield/%	endo : exo <sup>b</sup>	<i>endo</i> ee/% <sup>c</sup>
1	4- <i>i</i> -Pr-Ph	0	24	27	49:51	
2	4- <i>i</i> -Pr-Ph	rt	1.5	73	51:49	80 (68)
3	4-MeO-Ph	rt	9.0	86	44:56	81 (73)
4	4-NO <sub>2</sub> -Ph	0	2.0	97	59:41	90 (80)
5	2-NO2-Ph	0	2.5	90	80:20	$96 (92)^d$
6	4-Br-Ph	0	2.6	92	55:45	86 (78)
7	Me	0	2.0	77	63:37	78 <sup>d</sup>
8	<i>n</i> -Pr	0	4.5	85	59:41	80 (74)

<sup>*a*</sup> An  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated aldehyde (1.0 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (5 M) and to this solution were added 5 mol% of **2a**, 30 mol% of HCl (1,4-dioxane soln.) and cyclopentadiene (3.0 mmol) in this sequence. <sup>*b*</sup> Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. <sup>*c*</sup> Determined by chiral HPLC and the values in parentheses indicate ee of *exo* isomer. <sup>*d*</sup> Ee of *endo* isomer was obtained by acetalization with (+)-(*R*,*R*)-hydrobenzoin and <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis

reached 80:20, unlike in the reactions using other unsaturated aldehydes. Aliphatic aldehydes, such as crotonaldehyde and 2-hexenal, also reacted efficiently affording adducts in 77% and 85% yields, respectively, and with enantioselectivity of 76% ee and 80% ee for *endo* isomers, respectively. We also examined the reaction of cyclopentadiene with (*Z*)-3-(4-nitrophenyl)propenal.<sup>12</sup> This reaction proceeded sluggishly giving Diels–Alder adducts that were the same products as those obtained in the reaction of *(E*)-3-(4-nitrophenyl)propenal along with considerable amounts of polymeric materials. This result indicated that E/Z isomerization of the aldehyde or the intermediary hydrazonium ion occurred in the reaction (Scheme 2).

To obtain a mechanistic insight into asymmetric induction, we performed NMR studies for the intermediary hydrazonium ions. The catalyzed-reaction proceeded in  $CH_3CN$  similarly to that in DMF, though enantioselectivity was slightly decreased. In the NMR experiments, we then used more convenient  $CD_3CN$  as a solvent. We first examined the reactions using DCl (12 M, D<sub>2</sub>O soln.) as an acid cocatalyst, but the formation of hydrazonium ions was considerably suppressed, probably due to the addition of D<sub>2</sub>O. In addition, when HCl (4 M, 1,4-dioxane soln.) was used, hydrazonium ions were rapidly formed; however, gradual decomposition of hydrazonium ions occurred, and we could not



Scheme 2 Diels–Alder reaction using (Z)-3-(4-nitrophenyl)propenal.

obtain reliable data. We therefore decide to use TFA as an acid cocatalyst. Hydrazide **2a** was treated with 1.0–15.0 equivalents of aldehyde **3** in the presence of 1, 3 and 6 equivalents of TFA-d in CD<sub>3</sub>CN at rt, and the reaction was monitored by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR. In all measurements, the reactions reached equilibria within 3 min regardless of the amounts of TFA-d and aldehyde **3**; therefore, we could not obtain a reactivity profile of catalyst **2a** in detail. The equilibrated mixtures contained hydrazonium ions I, II and aldehyde **3**, and the amount of **2a**, the ratios I/(2a+I+II) and II/(2a+I+II) of each measurements are summarized in Fig. 2-A, **B** and **C**.





B: Hydrazonium ion formation using TFA-d (3 eq)



C: Hydrazonium ion formation using TFA-d (6 eq)



Fig. 2 <sup>1</sup>H-NMR study of hydrazonium ion formation.

In the presence of 1 equivalent of TFA-d, the reaction almost reached an equilibrium at the addition of 8 equivalents of aldehyde 3, and only I was formed with 50% conversion of 2a (Fig. 2-A). In this case, since TFA-d was consumed in the formation of I, it is reasonable that 50% of 2a remained unchanged. When the amount of TFA-d was increased to 3 equivalents, the reaction was equilibrated at the addition of 8 equivalents of aldehyde 3, and hydrazide 2a was completely converted to hydrazonium ions I along with the small amount of II (II/I = 5:95) (Fig. 2-B). In addition, when the reaction was carried out in the presence of 6 equivalents of TFA-d, 2a disappeared at the addition of 4 equivalents of 3, and the ratio II/I finally reached 33:67 (Fig. 2-C). These results indicate that hydrazide 2a is highly reactive and was able to react with aldehvde 3 to give I even with only 1 equivalent of TFA-d. On the other hand, hydrazonium ion I showed considerably reduced reactivity in comparison with 2a, and excess amount of TFA was required to give hydrazonium ion II. The stereochemistries of II and I were determined by NOESY analysis as shown in Fig. 3.



Fig. 3 NOESY analysis of the hydrazonium ion I and II.

Since a crosspeak in the NOESY spectrum between the methyl proton of the isopropyl group and the hydrazonium proton on the carbon-nitrogen double bond was observed for both I and II, the geometry of the carbon-nitrogen double bond was determined to be a Z-configuration. In addition, the iminium ion moiety of II and I is considered to be positioned perpendicular to the imidazolidinone ring. This arrangement is supported by a crosspeak in the NOESY spectrum between the methine proton of the isopropyl group and the proton on the imidazolidinone ring. The observed preference of the Z isomer over the E isomer can be rationalized by considering the steric repulsion around the carbon-nitrogen double bond (Fig. 4, A vs. B). Additionally, conformer A is considered more favorable than C due to steric repulsion between the phenyl group and the isopropyl group. In major conformers I(Z) and II(Z, Z), the phenyl group on the imidazolidinone ring blocked one side of the carbon-carbon double bond of the hydrazonium ion; therefore, cyclopentadiene is thought to approach the less-hindered side of the dienophile giving an adduct having a 2S configuration (Fig. 4, D).

However, these schemes could not fully explain the catalytic behavoir of our catalysts in asymmetric induction. For example, unexpectedly low enantioselectivity in the reaction using **1a**, which has an asymmetric environment similar to that of **2a**, cannot be rationalized by these schemes. We thought that enantioselectivity of the catalyzed-reactions was greatly affected by the participation



Fig. 4 Conformations of the hydrazonium ion.

of conformers, such as **C** in Fig. 4, in the reactions. We therefore performed conformational analysis of hydrazonium ions using *ab initio* calculations. Conformational searches of hydrazonium ions were performed by the Monte Carlo method using AM1 level of the theory, and the obtained geometries were first optimizaed by RHF/3-21G level of the theory. After removing duplicate conformers, the remaining conformers were reoptimized by using the theory of B3LYP/6-31G\*<sup>13-15</sup> We first calculated the energy of an intermediary hydrazonium ion derived from **1a**, and the results are shown in Fig. 5.



In the conformational analysis, conformers 1a-A and 1a-B, which correspond to A and C in Fig. 4, appeared as the most stable conformer and the second conformer, respectively, as expected. However, the energy difference between these conformers was unexpectedly small, 1.12 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, which was not so large as to exclude the participation of 1a-B. In addition, considering that 1a-B should be more reactive than 1a-A due to the lesser steric hindrance around a reaction site, the participation of 1a-B must be further increased, leading to the observed low enantioselectivity in the reaction. We next discuss asymmetric induction of the reactions using **2a**. In these reactions, each hydrazonium ion **I** or **II** can act as a reactive intermediate. We then investigated the conformational distribution in **I** and **II**. For hydrazonium ion **I**, the energy difference between **I**–**A** and **I**–**B** was also small, 1.38 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, which is similar to that between 1a-A and 1a-B (Fig. 6).



Therefore, if hydrazonium ion I participates in the reactions, high levels of enantioselectivity cannot be expected. On the other hand, in the conformational analysis of II, we found that II–A was the most stable conformer and was more stable than II–B and II–C by 3.36 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> and 3.63 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Fig. 7).







<sup>*a*</sup> All of the calculations were carried out using Spartan '08 (ver. 1.2.1). <sup>*b*</sup> Geometries were optimized using B3LYP/6-31G\* level of the theory. <sup>*c*</sup> Calculated using B3LYP/6-311++G\*\* level of the theory.

These values are so large that we can neglect the participation of **II–B** and **II–C**, and **II–A** has the most suitable arrangement in asymmetric induction. In other words, to obtain good enantioselectivity, not **I** but **II** must become a reactive intermediate. We next investigated which hydrazonium ion, namely **I** or **II**, was a reactive intermediate. Since the reactions were carried out under acidic conditions, hydrazonium ion **I** may be in an equilibrium with **I-H**<sup>+</sup>, and therefore, we should compare the reactivity of **I**, **I-H**<sup>+</sup> and **II** to elucidate the reactive intermediate. We then calculated their energy levels of LUMO by *ab initio* calculations. Structures of hydrazonium ions were optimized by the level of the theory B3LYP/6-31G<sup>\*</sup>, and their energy levels of LUMO were obtained using B3LYP/6-311++G<sup>\*\*</sup> level calculations.<sup>15</sup> The results are summarized in Table 5.<sup>16</sup>

The LUMO levels of **I**, **I**–**H**<sup>+</sup> and **II** are sufficiently low, and therefore each hydrazonium ion can work as reactive intermediates.<sup>17</sup> Since the LUMO level of **I**–**H**<sup>+</sup> is further lowered than that of **I**, if **I**–**H**<sup>+</sup> is formed, **I**–**H**<sup>+</sup> become a reactive intermediate. We next mention whether **I**–**H**<sup>+</sup> was formed or not. In the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR study mentioned above, a signal corresponding to isopropyl methine proton  $H_c$  of **II** appeared at 4.72 ppm. On the other hand, signals corresponding to methine protons  $H_A$  and  $H_B$ of **I** appeared at 4.47 ppm and 3.04 ppm, respectively. Considering a signal of  $H_D$  of **2a** appeared at 3.06 ppm in the absence of TFAd (Fig. 8), it may be reasonable to assume that the equilibrium between **I** and **I**-**H**<sup>+</sup> is not largely shifted to **I**-**H**<sup>+</sup>.



**Fig. 8** Chemical shift of  $H_A-H_D$  in CD<sub>3</sub>CN at rt.

However, since methine protons  $H_A-H_D$  might shift upfield or downfield by the shielding effects of neighboring phenyl groups, we could not determine whether I was protonated or not only by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR studies.<sup>18</sup> To clarify this point, we calculated proton

Table 6 Prote	on affinities of III an	d IV <sup>a</sup>	
<i>i</i> -Pr H	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N		<i>i</i> -Pr ∕ ∕NH
Compound	$E^{b}/a.u.$	ZPE <sup>c</sup> /a.u.	PA <sup>d</sup> /kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>
III III-H <sup>+</sup> IV	-649.390434 -649.757862 -765.281143	0.303960763 0.317960473 0.354302202	928 — 642
IV–H⁺	-765.540476	0.369219408	

<sup>*a*</sup> All of the calculations were carried out using Spartan'08 (ver. 1.2.1). <sup>*b*</sup> Geometries were optimized by B3LYP/6-31G and energies were calculated using B3LYP/6-311++G\*\* level of the theory. <sup>*c*</sup> Calculated by B3LYP/6-31G\*. <sup>*d*</sup> Corrected with zero-point energy (scaled by 0.9806).

affinities of model compounds III and IV at B3LYP/6-31++ $G^{**}$  level of the theory, and the results are shown in Table 6.<sup>16</sup>

Geometries and proton affinities of III, III-H<sup>+</sup>, IV and IV+H<sup>+</sup> were calculated using B3LYP level density functional theory. The basis set 6-31G\* was used for the geometrical optimization and frequency calculations, and 6-311++G\*\* for single-point energy calculations. PA values were corrected with zero-point energy that was obtained by the level of B3LYP/6-31G\* and was scaled by 0.9804.<sup>19</sup> Hydrazide III showed a typical value of PA (928 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>).<sup>5b,5c</sup> In contrast to III, IV showed a considerably low PA (642 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>), which was lower than that of CH<sub>3</sub>CN (788 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>).<sup>20</sup> These results indicate that protonation of I scarcely proceed in CH<sub>3</sub>CN, because CH<sub>3</sub>CN is more basic than IV.

In our catalyzed-Diels-Alder reactions, DMF was used as a solvent. Considering that DMF is more basic than CH<sub>3</sub>CN, the possibility of formation of I-H<sup>+</sup> should become still lower in DMF than in CH<sub>3</sub>CN. When TFA was used as a cocatalyst, hydrazonium ion I must be formed mainly accompanied by the formation of II in DMF as in the reaction in CH<sub>3</sub>CN.<sup>21</sup> In this case, not only II but also I worked as a reactive intermediate, leading to low enantioselectivity. On the other hand, the formation of **II** should be further facilitated, in the presence of HCl, and not I but II worked as the main reactive intermediate, giving good enantioselectivity. For the reactions using TfOH, if TfOH worked in a manner similar to that of HCl, TfOH should give a result comparable to that of the reaction using HCl as for asymmetric induction. We now assume that TfOH protonates I unlike HCl even in DMF, giving I-H<sup>+</sup> that worked as a reactive intermediate in a manner similar to I, resulting in low enantioselectivity. These considerations are based on the assumption that only acid strength of a cocatalyst governs the reaction pathway and counter anions do not participate in the reactions; however, the formation of I-H<sup>+</sup> might be affected by counter anions, resulting in a change in the equilibrium point between I, II and  $I-H^+$ . In addition, we cannot exclude the possibility that conformational preference and reactivity of hydrazonium ions might be influenced by counter anions. At present, we do not have sufficient data to fully clarify the effects of counter anions on the reaction course; however, we consider that elucidation of these points to be essential for improving the catalysis design and, therefore, to be an important subject of further studies.

### Conclusion

In conclusion, a novel hydrazide-type organocatalyst **2a** has been developed for enantioselective Diels–Alder reactions of  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ unsaturated aldehydes. The reaction was completed in a very short reaction time to afford cycloadducts in good yields with good enantioselectivity. To access into mechanistic insight, we also performed the conformational analysis of the intermediary hydrazonium ions by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR including NOESY measurements, and the geometry of the carbon-nitrogen double bond was determined to be a *Z*-configuration for both hydrazonium ion I and II. We further investigated the reactive intermediate, and revealed that the hydrazonium ion II was a reactive intermediate in our catalyzed Diels–Alder reactions by using *ab initio* calculations. Further studies to clarify the scope and limitations of this organocatalyst and for further improvements in the efficiency of asymmetric induction are now in progress.

# Notes and references

- For reviews of natural products synthesis, see: (a) K. C. Nicolaou, S. A. Snyder, T. Montagnon and G. Vassilikogiannakis, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 1668; (b) K. Takao, R. Munakata and K. Tadano, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **105**, 4779.
- 2 For reviews of enantiselective Diels–Alder reactions involving chiral Lewis acid, see: (a) D. A. Evans and J. S. Johnson, in *Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis III*, ed. E. N. Jacobsen, A. Pfaltz and H. Yamamoto, Springer, New York, 1999; (b) E. J. Corey, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 1650; (c) Y. Hayashi, in *Cycloaddition Reactions in Organic Synthesis*, ed. S. Kobayashi and K. A. Jørgensen, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2002.
- 3 (a) B. List, Chem. Commun., 2006, 819; (b) S. Mukherjee, J. W. Yang, S. Hoffmann and B. List, Chem. Rev., 2007, 107, 5471; (c) Hélène Pellissier, Tetrahedron, 2007, 63, 9267; (d) D. Enders, C. Grondal and M. R. M. Hüttl, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 1570; (e) A. Dondoni and A. Massi, Angew. Chem. In. Ed., 2008, 47, 4638; (f) A. Lattanzi, Chem. Commun., 2009, 1452.
- 4 For organocatalytic enantioselective Diels–Alder reactions, see: (a) K. A. Ahrendt, C. J. Borths and D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, **122**, 4243; (b) A. B. Northrup and D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, **124**, 2458; (c) R. M. Wilson, W. S. Jen and D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, **127**, 11616; (d) K. Ishihara and K. Nakano, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, **127**, 10504; (e) B. F. Bonini, E. Capitò, M. Comes-Franchini, M. Fochi, A. Ricci and B.

Zwanenburg, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2006, **17**, 3135; (f) T. Kano, Y. Tanaka and K. Maruoka, Org. Lett., 2006, **8**, 2687; (g) H. Gotoh and Y. Hayashi, Org. Lett., 2007, **9**, 2859; (h) Y. Hayashi, S. Samanta, H. Gotoh and H. Ishikawa, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2008, **47**, 6634; (i) Y. Ma, Y.-J. Zhang, S. Jin, Q. Li, C. Li, J. Lee and W. Zhang, Tetrahedron Lett., 2009, **50**, 7388; (j) T. Kano, Y. Tanaka, K. Osawa, T. Yurino and K. Maruoka, Chem. Commun., 2009, 1956; (k) H. Nakano, K. Osone, M. Takeshita, E. Kwon, C. Seki, H. Matsuyama, N. Takano and Y. Kohari, Chem. Commun., 2010, **48**, 4827.

- 5 (a) J. L. Cavill, J.-U. Peters and N. C. O. Tomkinson, *Chem. Commun.*, 2003, 728; (b) G. J. S. Evans, K. White, J. A. Platts and N. C. O. Tomkinson, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2006, 4, 2616; (c) J. L. Cavill, R. L. Elliott, G. Evans, I. L. Jones, J. A. Platts, A. M. Ruda and N. C. O. Tomkinson, *Tetrahedron*, 2006, 62, 410; (d) J. B. Brazier, J. L. Cavill, R. L. Elliott, G. Evans, T. J. K. Gibbs, I. L. Jones, J. A. Platts and N. C. O. Tomkinson, *Tetrahedron*, 2009, 65, 9961.
- 6 (a) M. Lemay and W. W. Ogilvie, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 4141; (b) M. Lemay and W. W. Ogilvie, J. Org. Chem., 2006, 71, 4663; (c) M. Lemay, L. Aumand and W. W. Ogilvie, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2007, 349, 441.
- 7 H. He, B.-J. Pei, H.-H. Chou, T. Tian, W.-H. Chan and A. W. M. Lee, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 2421.
- 8 Y. Langlois, A. Petit, P. Rémy, M.-C. Scherrmann and C. Kouklovsky, Tetrahedron Lett., 2008, 49, 5576.
- 9 E. Gould, T. Lebl, A. M. Z. Slawin, M. Reid and A. D. Smith, *Tetrahedron*, 2010, **66**, 8992.
- 10 Y. Shen and G. K. Friestad, J. Org. Chem., 2002, 67, 6236.
- 11 A striking correlation between the acid strength and the reaction efficiency was reported; see ref. 6a.
- 12 N. Daubresse, C. Francesch and C. Rolando, *Tetrahedron*, 1998, 54, 10761.
- 13 A. D. Becke, Phys. Rev. A, 1988, 38, 3098.
- 14 C. T. Lee, W. T. Yang and R. G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B, 1988, 37, 785-789.
- 15 M. J. Frisch, J. A. Pople and J. S. Binkley, J. Chem. Phys., 1984, 80, 3265.
- 16 All calculations used density functional theory (DFT) mothods and were carried out using Spartan '08 package (ver. 1.2.1).
- 17 Hydrazide **1a-1c** could efficiently worked as catalysts. Intermediary hydrazonium ions in these reactions are thought to show LUMO levels similar to that of **I**.
- 18 We also performed titration experiments of 2a using TFA-d in CD<sub>3</sub>CN and found that H<sub>D</sub> shifted downfieldshift by only 0.07 ppm, whereas two signals corresponding to isopropyl methyl groups shifted downfield by 0.15 and 0.28 ppm, respectively.
- 19 A. P. Scott and L. Radom, J. Phys. Chem., 1996, 100, 16502.
- 20 (a) P.-C. Maria, H.-F. Gal, J. Franceschi and E. Fargin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 483; (b) S. G. Lias, J. F. Liebman and R. D. Levin, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 1984, 13, 695.
- 21 We also calculated a PA value of DMF using the same methods, and an obtained PA value is  $874 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ .